LA METHODE DU LANCER

DE RAYON

Kadi BOUATOUCH

En anglais :

RAY TRACING

RAY CASTING

PRINCIPE

- Trace a primary ray passing through a pixel
- P : intersection point
- Compute the contribution of the sources to P by tracing shadow rays toward the light sources.
- If a shadow ray intersects an opaque object between P and the light source then P is shadowed
- Compute the contribution to P of other points within the scene by tracing secondary rays: reflected and refracted
- A reflected ray is traced only if the material is specular
- A refracted ray is traced only if the material is transparent
- A secondary ray intersects the scene at a point P'
- Again compute the contribution of the sources to P' by tracing shadow rays toward the light sources.
- Repeat the process
- Each ray brings its contribution to the luminance of a point

The specular reflection

- Ir = ks \cdot <N, H $>$ ⁿ . I_{source}
- If the surface is perfectly specular n is very large
- $\langle N, H \rangle^n$ is not negligible only for $(N, H) = 0$
- Thus Ir = ks . I_{source}
- $(N,H) = 0$ means that the incident and reflection angles are equal

Reciprocity of the reflection model

- Suppose $(L', N) = (V, N)$ and $(V', N) = (L, N)$
- Then : $(N,H) = (N,H')$
- Ir = ks \cdot <N, H > n . Is
- Ir' = ks . $\langle N', H' \rangle^n$. Is'
- Thus : ks $\langle N, H \rangle^n = k s \langle N, H \rangle^n$
- This is the reciprocity of the reflection model

Ambient term

- The indirect diffuse component I_{id} due to multiple reflections is supposed to be the result of the diffuse reflection of an ambient term Ia
- Ia is the same for all the surfaces
- $I_{id} = kd$. I_{obj} . Ia

The different components

- H1 : bisecting line of angle S P3 P2
- H2 : bisecting line of angle S P2 P1
- H1 : bisecting line of angle S P1 O
- Ida_i: intensity due to direct lighting and the ambient term for point Pi

-
$$
\text{Ida}_{i} = \text{kd}_{i} \cdot C_{i} \cdot \text{Ia}
$$

+ $\text{kd}_{i} \cdot C_{i} \cdot \text{Is} \cdot \text{cos}(Li,Ni)$
+ $\text{ks}_{i} \cdot \text{Is} \cdot \text{cos}(Ni,Hi)^{n}$

- I_3 = I_{da_3}
- $I_2 = I da_2 + k s_2 . I_3$
- $I_1 = I_{da1} + k s_1 . I_2$

The complete illumination model

- Legend :
	- dd : direct diffuse
	- ds : direct specular
	- is : indirect specular
	- t : transmitted or refracted
	- I_{ref} : intensity carried by the reflected ray
	- I_{tran} : intensity carried by the refracted ray
	- C_i : object's color
	- I_i : intensity of light source j
- I = $I_{amb} + I_{dd} + I_{ds} + I_{is} + I_{t}$
- $I_{amb} = kd$. C_i . Ia
- $I_{dd} = kd_i$. C_i . $\Sigma_i I_i$. $cos(Li,Ni)$
- I_{ds} = ks. Σ_i I_j. cos(Ni,Hi)ⁿ
- I_{is} = ks . I_{ref}
- $I_t = kt$. I_{tran}
- For a material : $kd + ks + kt = 1$

The illumination algorithm

- Ray r : equation $P = P_0 + t$. D
- $I(r) = I_{amb}$ (inter(r, Scene))

+ Σ_i I_{dd} (j, inter(r, Scene))

 $+ \Sigma_i$ I_{ds} (j, inter(r, Scene))

+ ks . I (reflected_ray)

+ kt . I (refracted_ray)

- I(r) : recursive function calculating the global intensity brought by a ray r
- I_{amb}, I_{dd} and I_{ds} are functions computing the ambient, direct-diffuse and direct-specular components respectively
- Scene : data structure representing the scene
- Each source is indexed by j

How to stop tracing rays ?

- I : Intensity due to this ray path :

$$
I = Ks0. (Kt1 (Ks4 . E7 + E4) + E1)
$$

= Ks0 . Kt1 . Ks4 . E7 + Ks0 . Kt1 . E4 + Ks0 . E1

- Ei : intensities due to the light sources ; direct lighting
- Stop tracing rays when the cumulative product is below a certain threshold

Intersection computation : principle

- The scene is supposed to be expressed in the world coordinate system (WCS).
- It may be:A set of independent objects
- An object may be a CSG tree (Constructive Solid Geometry) which is a binary tree whose leaves are primitive objects like sphere, cylinder, cone and whose nodes are boolean operators like union, intersection and difference.
- The purpose is to intersect a scene by a ray whose equation is given by :

$$
P = P_0 + t \cdot D
$$

- where : P0 is the ray origin ;

 $D = (dx, dy, dz)$ is the direction vector of the ray;

- $t > 0$
- Intersection result = $\{t_i / t_i \text{ is a value of t corresponding to an } \}$ intersection point }.
- Only the closest point to the ray origin, is used to compute the lights contribution and to shoot secondary rays.

- To simplify the intersection computing, each object may described in a local coordinates system (LCS)

- In this case two transformation matrices are then associated with each object :
- the first one allows the transformation of a point in the WCS to a point in the local coordinates system,
- the second one allows the inverse transformation.
- Ray-object intersection is performed in the LCS. With this aim in view, the ray is transformed into the LCS.
- This simplifies both the computations of the ray-object intersection and that of the normal.
- Since t is a scalar, its value is not affected by this transformation.
- To compute the closest intersection point, the smallest value of t is substituted in the ray equation expressed in the WCS. The transformation LCS-WCS is then not necessary.
- As for the normal calculation, it is performed on the LCS, then it is transformed onto the WCS.

- To reduce the amount of ray-object intersections, its is absolutely necessary to use a hierarchical data structure .
- This data structure is a tree of bounding volumes.
- Bounding volumes are simple geometric objects which fit around the objects.
- They are chosen to be simple to intersect with a ray, such as spheres or parallelepipeds that have faces perpendicular to the axes.
- The building of this hierarchy consists in picking some of these bounding volumes and surrounding them with another bounding volume. This process is repeated recursively until a bounding volume is generated that surrounds the whole scene.

Example of hierarchy of bounding volumes : binary tree.

Hierarchy

- It is very important to find a way to choose a tree that reduces the rendering time.
- Trying to construct manually a tree is very tedious and not efficient.
- A better method consists in dividing the scene into halves along one axis and surround each half with a bounding volume. This process is applied recursively on each half.

Median cut method

Hierarchy : Median cut method

- 1. Search for max slab
- 2. L= {liste of bounding volume numbers}
- 3. $dmax[2] dmin[2]$ or $dmax[1] dmin[1]$
- 4. In this example : $max = dmax[1] dmin[1]$
- 5. Then choose slab 1
- 6. Sort the bounding volumes with respect to increasing dmin[1]
- 7. We get a sorted list $L = \{1, 5, 3, 2, 4\}$
- 8. Spit L into two sub-lists L1 and L2
- 9. We get : $L1 = \{1, 5, 3\}$ $L2 = \{2, 4\}$
- 10. Go to 1 with $L = L1$ then $L = L2$

Hierarchy: Median cut

Data structures

TYPE

```
- ttab ptr obj = array|1..Nb obj] of integer ;
    - tvol engl = struct \frac{1}{2} /* bounding volume type */
                   dmin : arrray[1..N_Slab] of real ;
                   dmax : array[1..N_Slab] of real ;
     } 
    - tengl obj = struct { /* hierarchy node */
                   tab : ttab ptr_obj ;
                   eng : tvol engl ;
                    number : integer; 
 } 
    - obj = struct {
                   vol eng : tvol engl ;
                   par geo : tparam geom ;
                    par_photo : tparam_photo ; 
 } 
    - ttab obj = array[1..Nb obj] of object ;
    - tHier = \arctan 1. Nmax of tengl obj;
    VAR
```
- tab obj : ttab obj ;
- Hier : tHier :
- tabp : ttab_ptr_obj

Hierarchy Median cut : Algorithm

```
Procedure create Hierarchy(tabp : ttab ptr obj ;
                  ind beg, ind end, depth : integer) ;
begin 
/* Compute bounding volume for tabp */ 
/* Result : 2 arrays dmin and dmax */ 
bounding vol(tabp, dmin, dmax, ind beg, ind end);
/* Hierarchy saved as a bin tree in an array Hier */ 
for i := ind beg to ind end {
 Hier[depth].tab[i-ind deb+1] := tabp[i] ;
} 
Hier[depth].eng.dmin := dmin ;
Hier[depth].eng.dmax := dmax ;
Hier[depth].number := ind end – ind beg + 1 ;
/* Stop splitting the list if the number of leaf' objects is 
smaller than Max_obj */ 
if (ind end – ind beg – 1) \leq Max obj { return };
index := 1 :
d partition := Huge Negative Number ;
for i :=1 to Nb_Slab { 
    if (dmax[i] - dmin[i]) > d partition
       {d partition := dmax[i] – dmin[i] ; index := i }
} 
/* List sorting with respect to increasing dmin[index] */ 
quick sort wrt dmin(tabp, index);
m :=ind beg + n ;
create Hierarchy(tabp, ind beg, m, 2*depth) ;
create Hierarchy(tabp, m + 1, ind end, 2*depth + 1);
end
```
Hierarchy : Goldsmith's et al.'s method

- Interesting method: proposed by Goldsmith and Salmon .
- The used strategy is a heuristic tree search
- Objects are added successively and the tree is searched to find a suitable insertion point for each new node.
- Since not all nodes of the tree can be considered as a point for insertion, the search must follow only few paths.
- The choice of sub-trees to search from a given node is determined by the smallest increase in surface area of the node's bounding volume that would occur if the new node was to be inserted as a child of it.
- During the search, at each level of the tree, the new node is considered as a prospective child of each node that will be searched.
- The tree is evaluated with the proposed insertion and the location with the smallest increase in tree cost is saved.
- When the search reaches a leaf node, the new node and the leaf node are proposed as children of a new non leaf node.
- Bottom-up evaluation after each insertion of a new node

Hierarchy : Goldsmith's et al.'s method

Example of hierarchy

Hierarchy : CSG Model

Case of CSG tree modelled scenes

- Data structure of each leaf of the CSG tree is extended by adding to it the bounding volume of the leaf.
- Bottom-up search of the tree in order to compute the bounding volumes of the non leaf nodes.
- These bounding volumes are in their turn added to the data structure of the associated nodes.
- Their evaluation depends on the boolean operator associated with the nodes
- The bounding volume of the root bounds the whole scene.

Hierarchy : CSG Model

Case of CSG tree modelled scenes

Ray-scene intersection test

using the hierarchy

- Once the hierarchy of bounding volumes has been built, the ray-scene intersection test is performed as follows.
- The hierarchy is searched from the root to the leaves.
- During this search, at a node N, the associated bounding volume is checked for an intersection with the current ray.
- If the bounding volume of N is intersected, those of its children node are in their turn checked for an intersection.
- This process is repeated recursively and ends up at the leaf nodes.
- Else, if the bounding volume of N is not intersected by the ray, the associated subtree is left out, that is, it is not searched.

Different kinds of bounding volumes

Parallelepiped

- For the sake of speed up, the faces of this bounding volume are perpendicular to the axes of the World Coordinates System.
- Its perspective projection onto the screen plane is often used to filter the primary rays (rays starting at the eye location).

Sphere and Ellipsoid

- They may be used to filter the reflected and refracted rays and those directed to the light sources.

Different kinds of bounding volumes

Polyhedron : Intersection of Slabs

- The objects are bounded by polyhedra whose sizes may be different but whose faces' normals have constant direction vectors.
- These direction vectors as well as the number of faces are chosen by the user before the synthesis phase.
- Example of polyhedral bounding volumes.

- It is easy to build a hierarchy with polyhedral bounding volumes.

Intersection Test

Sphere

.

- Orthogonal distance d_0^2 between the center of the sphere and the ray
- If d_0^2 is smaller than or equal to the square of the radius of the sphere, then the ray intersects the sphere, otherwise it does not intersect it

- Let C be the center of the sphere and let $P = P_0 + t$. D be the ray equation. $d\theta$ is evaluated by minimizing the distance between C and a point P on the ray.
- This gives $d^2 = || P_0 + t \cdot D - C ||^2 = || P_0 - C ||^2 + 2t \cdot (P_0 - C) \cdot D$ $+ t²$. || D ||2
- By setting to 0 the derivative of d^2 , we obtain:

$$
t = ((P_0 - C) . D / || D ||^2) = -(P_0 - C) . D
$$

- After substitution : $d_0^2 = ||P_0 - C||^2 - ((P - C) \cdot D)^2$

Intersection Test

Parallelepiped

- The faces of the parallelepiped are perpendicular to the axes of the world coordinate system.
- First, the intersections between the ray and the faces $x = x1$ and x $= x2$ are computed. Two values of t are then obtained
- $t1 = (x1 x0) / dx$ and $t2 = (x2 x0) / dx$.
- Interval: $[Ix, Mx] = [min(t1, t2), max(t1, t2)]$
- Same processing applied to the faces perpendicular to the y and z axes.
- The result is then an intersection interval given by :

 $[I, M] = [max(Ix, Iy, Iz), min(Mx, My, Mz)]$

- If $I \le M$ then the ray intersects the parallelepipedic bounding volume, otherwise it does not intersect it

Intersection Test

Polyhedron

- The intersection test is similar to the previous one, except that the faces are not perpendicular to the axes of the eye coordinates system
- Interval : [I, M]
- Let N be the normal of a face
- N $P + d = 0$ the equation of the plane containing the face.
- The value of t corresponding to the intersection between the ray and this face is computed by substituting the ray equation in that of the plane : $t = -(d + N \cdot P_0) / N \cdot D$
- For a slab i , N=Ni and

$$
t = \alpha i * d + \beta i
$$

$$
\alpha i = \frac{-1}{Ni \bullet D}
$$

$$
\beta i = \frac{-Ni \bullet P0}{Ni \bullet D}
$$

- Given a slab i, these values are the same for all the object bounding volumes

Sphere

- Intersection points : solutions of the following equation

$$
\| P_0 - C \| ^2 + 2t \cdot (P_0 - C) \cdot D + t^2 \cdot \| D \| ^2 = r^2
$$

- Intersection : performed in the local coordinates system of the sphere
- $|| P_0 ||^2 + 2t P_0 P_0 D + t^2 || D ||^2 = r^2$

Parallelepiped

- The way to compute the ray-parallelepiped intersection has been shown previously.
- [I, M] : interval intersection.
- If $I \le M$ then the intersection exists and in addition, I and M are the values of the parameter t corresponding to the intersection points. Otherwise it does not exist.

Cylinder

cylinder and its LCS.

- The cylinder is supposed to be the result of the intersection between an infinite height cylinder and the subspace delimited by two planes which equations are $z = 0$ and $z = h$
- The intersection between the ray an the infinite height cylinder is first performed. This yields a first interval [t1,t2]
- The intersection with the two planes gives a second interval [13, t4].
- The final intersection interval [I, M] results from the combination of these two intervals (as for the parallelepiped).

Cylinder

- obtaining [t1, t2]

- The equation of the infinite height cylinder :

$$
x^2 + y^2 = r^2
$$

- Substituting the ray equation in this equation we obtain :

 t^2 . ($dx^2 + dy^2$) + 2t. (x0 . $dx + y0$. dy) + (x0² + y0² - r²) = 0

- Solving this equation gives the interval $[t1, t2]$.

- obtaining [t3, t4]

- Let A and B the two values of t resulting from the intersection with the two planes :

 $A = -z0 / dz$ and $B = (h - z0) / z0$

- We get :

 $t3 = min(A,B)$ and $t4 = max(A, B)$

Cone

- Intersection: performed in the LCS of the cone .

- Cone: intersection between an infinite height cone and the subspace delimited by two planes, the equations of which are $z = 0$ and $z = h$.
- The intersection between the ray and the infinite height cone is first performed. The equation of this cone is given by :

$$
h^{2}
$$
. $(x^{2} + y^{2}) - r^{2}$. $z^{2} = 0$.

- Substituting the ray equation in this equation yields an interval $[$ t1, t2].
- Then the planes are in their turn intersected to give a second interval $\lceil 13, 14 \rceil$ such that :

 $t3 = min(A, B)$ and $t4 = max(A, B)$

- where $A = -z0 / dz$ and $B = (h z0) / dz$.
- The final interval is the combination of these two intervals (as for the cylinder).

Polygon

- Several ray-polygon intersection methods have been proposed in the literature.
- Only two of them are presented .
- For all these methods, the intersection process consists of two steps :
	- *First step: Ray-Plane intersection test*
		- the goal of the first step is to perform the intersection between the ray and the plane containing the polygon
	- *Inside Outside test*
		- the second step tests if the resulting point is inside or outside the polygon.

Polygon

Snyder's method

- Snyder's method method concerns the ray-triangle intersection. It will be extended to a polygon.
- Let P_i be the vertices of a triangle and let N_i the associated normals which are used for normal interpolation across the triangle.
- Normal to the triangle: $N = (P_1 P_0) \times (P_2 P_0)$
- A point P lying on the triangle plane satisfies :

$$
P \cdot N + d = 0 \text{ where } d = -P_0 \cdot N.
$$

- An index io is computed to be equal either to 0 if $|N_x|$ is maximum or to 1 if $|N_V|$ is maximum or to 2 if $|N_Z|$ is maximum.
- To intersect a ray $P = O + t$. D with a triangle, first compute the t parameter of the intersection between the ray and the triangle plane :

$$
t = (d - N . O) / N . D.
$$

Polygon

Snyder's method

- Let if and i2 (i1, i2 \Box {0, 1, 2}) be two unequal indices different from i0. Compute the i1 and i2 components of the intersection point I, by :

 $I_{11} = O_{11} + t$. D_{11} and $I_{12} = O_{12} + t$. D_{12}

- The inside-outside test can be performed by computing scalars β ₀, β ₁ and β ₂ according to :

 $B_i = [(P_{i+2} - P_{i+1}) \times (I - P_{i+1})]_{i0} / [N]_{i0}$

- The ßi are the barycentric coordinates of the point where the ray intersects the triangle plane.
- I is inside the triangle if and only if $0 \le \beta \le 1$ for $i \square \{0, 1, 2\}$.
- The interpolated normal at point I is given by :

$$
N' = B_0 \cdot N_0 + B_1 \cdot N_1 + B_2 \cdot N_2
$$
.

- Snyder's method can be easily extended up to polygons.
- The main idea is to consider a polygon as a union of triangles.

Intersection with simple objects

Polygon

Marchal's method

- I is the ray-plane intersection point.
- The P_i are transformed to the two dimensional coordinates system (u, v) whose origin is vertex P_0 .
- The plane of this coordinates system is the polygon plane.
- The inside-outside test determines if an edge P_iP_{i+1} intersects the v axis at a point M (this may occur when the u components of P_i and P_{i+1} have different signs).
- If so, and if $P_0I < P_0M$ then I is inside the polygon, else it is outside.
- On the other hand, if none of the edges intersect the v axis, then I lies outside the polygon.

Intersection with simple objects

Polygon

Marchal's method

- The interpolated normal at point I is given by :

$$
NI = (P0I / P0M)
$$
. $NM + (1 - P0I / P0M)$. $N0$

- where the normal N_M at point M is given by :

 $N_M = (P_i M / P_i P_{i+1})$. $N_{i+1} + (1 - P_i M / P_i P_{i+1})$. N_i

- and N_i , N_{i+1} are the normals at point P_i and P_{i+1} . P_iP_{i+1} is the intersected edge.

Composite objects

- A composite object may be created by performing set operations (union, difference, intersection) on simple or on other composite objects.
- A CSG tree is an example of composite object.
- The ray-object intersection results in a list of intervals as shown in the following figure .
- In this example, two objects are combined with each set operator. The intersection result is a list of two intervals, the length of which depends on the used set operation.

.

Intersection with algebraic surfaces

- An algebraic surface is defined by :

$$
S(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{ij} \cdot x_i y_j z_k
$$
 (6)

- The substitution in $S(x, y, z)$ of the ray equation, gives a polynomial equation $S^*(t)$, the degree of which is $d = 1 + m + n$

$$
S^*(t) = \sum_{i=0} a_i \cdot t^i.
$$

- S*(t) may be solved with non linear programming techniques, such as the one of Laguerre, Newton or Bairstow.
- Thes techniques are iterative and converge only if they start from an initial value of t close to the exact root.
- To find a good initial value of t, one must isolate the roots by recursively subdividing the range of t into two equal sized subintervals, and by seeing if the resulting subintervals contain at least one root.
- This process terminates when the width of an interval is less than a given threshold.

Root isolation methods

Several root isolation methods are proposed in the literature. Only two of them are discussed :

- interval methods
- Collins's method

Interval method

- An interval is defined by an ordered pair of real numbers [a, b] with $a < b$.
- Interval method allows performing arithmetic operations on intervals using the operators $+$, $-$, $*$ and $/$.
- Let op be an operator :

[a, b] op [c, d] = { x op y, such that $x \Box$ [a, b] and $y \Box$ [c, d] }

- These operations can be performed algebraicly using the endpoints of the intervals, as shown in the following :

$$
[a, b] + [c, d] = [a + c, b + d]
$$

\n
$$
[a, b] - [c, d] = [a - c, b - d]
$$

\n
$$
[a, b] * [c, d] = [\min(a * c, a * d, b * c, b * d), \max(a * c, a * d, b * c, b * d)]
$$

[a, b] / [c, d] = [a, b] $*$ [1/d, 1/c] provided that $0 \square$ [c, d]

- The division by an interval containing 0 may be defined as :

$$
1/[a, b] = [1/b, +\infty] \text{ if } a = 0,
$$

= [-\infty, 1/a] if b =0,
= [-\infty, 1/a] union [1/b, +\infty] if a \le 0 \le b,
= [1/b, 1/a] if a > 0 or if b < 0.

Interval method

- Let $f(x_1,..., x_n)$ be a rational function, and let F be the corresponding interval rational function.
- If for each i, $1 \le i \le n$, x_i ranges over [a_i, b_i] then

```
F([a_1, b_1], ..., [a_n, b_n]) \square \{ f(x_1, ..., x_n) \} such that
                                         x_i \square [a_i, b_i], 1 \le i \le n= range of f.
```
How the interval method can be used to solve a polynomial equation ?

- First, the range T of variable t, is determined by intersecting the ray with the bounding volume of the surface.
- After that, the method checks the possibility for the interval T (and its subintervals) to contain the value 0.
- This is done by interval evaluation of the polynomial equation .
- If this evaluation contains 0, then there is some chance for the polynomial to have real zeros.
- In this case, T is subdivided into two subintervals and the process is repeated for the subintervals in a recursion fashion.
- The recursion terminates when the width of the current subinterval is smaller than a threshold (in case of isolation) or when it can be treated as a single point which is a real root of the polynomial.

How the interval method can be used to solve a system of non linear equations ?

- The same technique can be used to isolate or to find the solutions of a system of non linear equations .
- For the sake of simplicity, consider a system of two polynomial equations where the two unknowns are u and v ranging respectively over $U = [u1, u2]$ and $V = [v1, v2]$:

 $f(u,v) = 0$ with $(u,v) \square I$

 $g(u,v) = 0$ with $(u,v) \square I$.

- $I = [u1, u2] \times [v1, v2]$
- The method checks the possibility for a solution to lie within the entire domain of the 2D interval I.
- This is done by interval evaluation of the functions f(u, v) and $g(u, v)$.
- If both the evaluations contain 0, then there is some chance for the solution to exist.
- If so, I is subdivided into 2D subintervals and the process is repeated recursively as pointed out above.

Root isolation methods

Collins's method

- Let
$$
P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \cdot x^{i}
$$

- Descartes' rule states that the number of sign variations var(a_n , $a_{n-1},..., a_0$ exceeds the number of positive zeros, multiplicities counted, by an even non negative integer.
- Hence if var(P) is equal to 0, P has exactly no positive roots, and if var(P) is equal to 1, P has exactly one positive root.
- A surprising theorem which Uspensky attributes to Vincent in 1886 , shows that after a finite number of transformations

 $P'(x) = P(x+1)$ and $P^*(x) = (x + 1)n * P(1 / (x + 1))$

one arrives at polynomial having sign variation 1 or 0.

Root isolation methods

Collins's method : Algorithm

procedure real root isolation(P : polynomial ; var L : list of intervals);

var

 bound : real ; B : polynomial ; L' : list of intervals ;

begin

{ bound the positive roots of P by bound }

```
bound := 2k;
if k \ge 0 then B(x) := P(bound * x)
       else B(x) := (1 / (\text{bound}^* 2^n)) * P(\text{bound}^* x);
```
 { call the isolation procedure which gives a list L' of isolation intervals of B }

isolation proc($B, 0, 1, 1, L'$);

 { call the procedure replace_L'_by_L to replace each interval $[a_i, b_i]$ by [bound * a_i , bound * b_i] }

```
replace L' by L(L, L');
```
end;

procedure isolation proc(B : polynomial ; min int, max int : real ; width : real ; var L : list of intervals) ;

var

```
L1, L2 : list of intervals ;
B^*, B', B'' : polynomial;
I : interval ;
```
 { min_int and max_int are respectively the smallest and largest endpoints of the current interval $\}$

begin

{ transform the zeros of B in [0, 1] onto the zeros of B^{*} in $[0, \infty]$

 $B^*(x) := (x + 1)P^* B(1/(x + 1))$; { end of recursion }

```
if var(B^*) = 0 then begin
```

```
L := empty ;
     return ; 
     end 
else if (var(B^*) = 1) and (width \leq threshold) then
     begin 
    I := [min int, max int];
    insert in L(I);
     end ;
```
 { process the left-half subinterval by transforming the zeros of B in [0, 1/2] on the zeros of B in $[0, 1]$ }

 $B'(x) := 2^n * B(x / 2)$: isolation proc(B', min int, max int - width $/ 2$, width $/ 2$, L1);

 { process the right-half subinterval by transforming the zeros of B in [1/2, 1] on the zeros of B in $[0, 1]$ }

 $B''(x) := B'(x + 1)$; isolation proc(B", min int + width $/ 2$, max int, width $/ 2$, L2); { put the two lists L1 and L2 in L } add list $(L, L1)$; add $list (L, L2)$; **end** ;

Intersecting Bicubic surfaces

-
$$
Q(u,v) = [x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v)]
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{3} B_i(u) . B_j(v) . P_{ij}
$$
 (7)

- where Pij are the control points of the surface, and $B_i(u)$, $B_i(v)$ the blending functions which determine the type of surface (Bspline, Bezier, Beta-spline…).
- These blending functions depend on the two parameters u and v which both range over [0, 1].
- A ray may be considered as intersection of two planes defined by :
:

 $[A1, B1, C1]$. $[x, y, z] = D1$

 $[A2, B2, C2]$. $[x, y, z] = D2$

- The ray equation iexpressed as :

 $[x, y, z] = [x0, y0, z0] + t$. $[dx, dy, dz]$

Intersecting Bicubic surfaces

- The two planes can be determined as follows :

$$
[A1, B1, C1] = [x0, y0, z0] x [dx, dy, dz]
$$

$$
[A2, B2, C2] = [A1, B1, C1] \times [dx, dy, dz]
$$

$$
D1 = [A1, B1, C1] x [x0, y0, z0]
$$

$$
D2 = [A2, B2, C2] x [x0, y0, z0]
$$

- After substitution we obtain the following system :

$$
3 \sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{3} ([A1, B1, C1], P_{ij}). B_{i}(u). B_{j}(v) - D1 = 0
$$

\n
$$
11)
$$

\n
$$
3 \sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{3} ([A2, B2, C2], P_{ij}). B_{i}(u). B_{j}(v) - D2 = 0
$$

\n
$$
12 \sum_{i=0}^{3} (12 \sum_{j=0}^{3} P_{ij}). B_{i}(u). B_{j}(v) - D2 = 0
$$

Intersecting Bicubic surfaces

- Once these equations have been stated, ray-surface intersection may be performed by means of one of the existing methods. At least, three methods can be used :
- method which decomposes a patch into a set of planar polygons,
- method which subdivides recursively a patch into four patches. The recursion terminates when the bounding volume of a subpatch is intersected by the current ray and satisfies a size criterion.
- method which uses numerical techniques. The resultant method may be used (see Kajiya).

Principle

- The rectangular bounding volume of the scene is subdivided into 3D cells
- Each cell contains a small portion of the scene
- When a ray enters a cell, we check the objects within this cell for an intersection with the ray
- If the intersection process ends up with success then no need to check the rest of the objects
- If the ray fails to hit any object in the cell then it moves to the next 3D cell

Two procedures

- A procedure which performs a spatial subdivision of the scene into 3D cells, each of them containing a small portion of the database
- A second procedure which determines the next cell along a ray

Subdivision into a 3D uniform grid

Subdivision

- The rectangular bounding volume of the scene is subdivided into a uniform 3D grid of rectangular cells
- The grid is represented by a 3D array, the indices of which are i, j and k corresponding to the x, y and z axes respectively
- Each cell is represented by a data structure containing a pointer to the objets partially or totally within the cell
- Example

Subdivision into a 3D uniform grid

- **Next cell along a ray : classical method**
	- Let G[i][j][k] be the 3D array representing the 3D grid
	- Let P the point where the ray leaves the current cell and D the ray direction
	- P is the outgoing point
	- Let w be the axis perpendicular to the face which contains P
	- Let u $(x, y \text{ or } z)$ be the index $(i, j \text{ or } k)$ of the current cell corresponding to w
	- If $Dw > 0$ then the index u of the next cell is $u = u + 1$. the other indices are unchanged
	- Else it is : $u = u 1$
	- Example :
		- If $w = z$ then $u = k$
		- If $Dz > 0$ then the index of the next cell along the ray is $k = k + 1$, while the other indices do not change
		- If the current cell is G[i][j][k] then the next cell along the ray is G[i][j][k + 1] if $Dz > 0$, or G[i][i][k - 1] if $Dz < 0$

Subdivision into a 3D uniform grid

Next cell along a ray : Amanatides's method

- Initialization

- Ray equation : $P = P0 + t$. D
- Identify the voxel containing the ray origin O
- If O is outside the grid, find the point through which the ray enters the grid and determine the adjacent voxel
- X, Y and Z : voxel indices
- StepX, stepY and stepZ : initialized to 1, incremented or decremented as the ray crosses the voxel boundaries
- tx, ty and tz : values of t corresponding to the points resulting from the intersection between the ray and 3 faces of the initial voxel
- tDeltaX, tDeltaY and tDeltaZ : distance travelled by the ray between two successive faces perpendicular to the x, y and z faces respectively

Algorithm

```
Min = min(tx, ty, tz);
switch(Min) 
{ 
    case tx : 
          X \leftarrow stepX;
          tx \leftarrow tDeltax;
           break ; 
    case ty 
          Y \leftarrow stepY;
          ty \leftarrow tDeltay;
           break ; 
    case ty 
          \overline{Z} += stepZ ;
          tz \leftarrow tDeltaz;
           break ; 
}
```


Subdivision into a non uniform grid

Subdivision

- The rectangular bounding volume of the scene is recursively sliced by 3 planes perpendicular to the x, y and z axes one after the other
- Each slicing plane divides a space (a 3D cell) into two subspaces (a3D cells) of equal dimensions
- The subdivision process stops either when a cell contains partially or totally a minimum number of objects or the maximum subdivision level is reached for each axis
- The result is a linear array of rectangular cells
- Each cell is identified by a number
- Each cell is represented by a data structure containing a pointer to the objets partially or totally within it

Subdivision into a non uniform grid

Subdivision

Subdivision into a non uniform grid

Next cell along the ray

- P : out going point
- Push P along the normal to the outgoing face
- The results is another point P'
- Pushing consists in adding to the P's coordinates a value deltax (resp. deltay, deltaz) which is equal to half the length of the x side (resp. y, z) of the smallest cell.
- Determine the cell containing P'
- If P is on an edge or a vertex of a cell, push it simultaneously in the directions of the normals of the faces sharing it

